United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JNITE	D ST	TATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION	
٧.	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I – Fin (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described offense state or local offense that would have be jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 an offense for which the maximum sentence is li an offense for which a maximum term of impriso. A felony that was committed after the defendant in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable or local offense. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed vor local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed sind imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable preasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) rebutted this presumption. Alternate (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	PENDING TRIAL		
Donald Eugene Lucas In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this ca			Case Number: 1:06-mj-00601	
facts re	In a quire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314: the detention of the defendant pending trial in this ca	2(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the followin se.	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described offense state or local offense that would have be jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 an offense for which the maximum sentence is left.	ife imprisonment or death.	
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed sin imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1) Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable preasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s)	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state ce the ☐date of conviction ☐ release of the defendant from	
	(1)		Findings (A) It has committed an offense	
	` ,	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of to under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	en years or more is prescribed in ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition	
<u>x</u>		Alternate There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang		
		Part II – Written Stateme	nt of Reasons for Detention	
	I fin	d that the credible testimony and information submitte	ed at the hearing establish by a preponderance of the evidence that	
defe	endar	nt escaped from federal halfway house custody on a s	entence imposed for a supervised release violation.	
appeal. the Unit	ons fa The ted S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne acility separate, to the extent practicable, from persor defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity	Regarding Detention y General or his designated representative for confinement in a is awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending of for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court is, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the person in connection with a court proceeding.	
Februa	ıry 2,	2006 /s/	Ellen S. Carmody	
Date		Sig	nature of Judge	
			len S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge me and Title of Judge	